



Senate, May 6, 1971. The Committee on General Law reported through Senator Dinielli of the 31st District, Chairman of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT VALIDATING AS TIMELY THE NOTICE GIVEN BY JUANA MATOS AND TO THAT EXTENT GRANTING HER PERMISSION TO PROSECUTE TO FINAL EFFECT A SUIT AGAINST THE CITY OF BRISTOL.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 The notice filed July 3, 1967, with the city
2 clerk of the city of Bristol, concerning injuries
3 sustained by Juana Matos, on February 4, 1967, is
4 validated and declared sufficient to permit said
5 Juana Matos to maintain and prosecute to final
6 judgment an action against the city of Bristol,
7 insofar only as the same was not given within the
8 time required by law. Such notice shall have the
9 same force and effect as though the provisions of
10 section 13a-149 of the general statutes concerning
11 the time within which notice is required to be
12 given had been complied with, and said city shall
13 be barred from setting up the failure to comply
14 with the provisions of said section concerning the
15 time within which notice is required to be given
16 as a defense to said action, and from denying that
17 notice of said injuries had been given to said
18 city within the time so required.

File No. 1316
(Reprint of File No. 793)

Substitute Senate Bill No. 263
As Amended by House Amendment
Schedule "A"



Approved by the Legislative Commissioner.

AN ACT VALIDATING AS TIMELY THE NOTICE GIVEN BY
JUANA MATOS AND TO THAT EXTENT GRANTING HER
PERMISSION TO PROSECUTE TO FINAL EFFECT A SUIT
AGAINST THE CITY OF BRISTOL.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of
Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 The notice filed July 3, 1967, with the city
2 clerk of the city of Bristol, concerning injuries
3 allegedly sustained by Juana Matos, on February 4,
4 1967, is validated and declared sufficient to
5 permit said Juana Matos to maintain and prosecute
6 to final judgment an action against the city of
7 Bristol, insofar only as the same was not given
8 within the time required by law. Such notice
9 shall have the same force and effect as though the
10 provisions of section 13a-149 of the general
11 statutes concerning the time within which notice
12 is required to be given had been complied with,
13 and said city shall be barred from setting up the
14 failure to comply with the provisions of said
15 section concerning the time within which notice is
16 required to be given as a defense to said action,
17 and from denying that notice of said injuries had
18 been given to said city within the time so
19 required.

Bill No. 263

Page 1 of 1

Referred to Committee on

General Law

LCO No. 1368

Introduced by SEN. DINIELLI, 31st Dist.

General Assembly,

January Session, A.D., 1971

AN ACT VALIDATING NOTICE GIVEN BY JUANA MATOS AND GRANTING HER
 PERMISSION TO INSTITUTE AND PROSECUTE TO FINAL EFFECT A SUIT
 AGAINST THE CITY OF BRISTOL.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in
 General Assembly convened:

The written notice given the city of Bristol on July 3,
 1967, concerning injuries received by Juana Matos on or about
 February 4, 1967, is validated. Such notice shall have the same
 power and effect as though the provisions of section 13a-149 of
 the general statutes had been complied with and said city shall
 be barred from setting up the failure to comply with said section
 13a-149 as a defense to said action and shall also be barred from
 denying that proper and sufficient notice of said injuries had
 been given to said city. Said Juana Matos may maintain and bring
 a civil action against the city of Bristol to recover damages for
 said injuries, notwithstanding any provision of any statute of
 limitation or judgment on a demurrer sustained entered in favor
 of the city

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE: To grant permission to Juana Matos to sue
 the city of Bristol and validate her notice.

CONNECTICUT
 STATE LIBRARY
 LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE
 SECTION

[Proposed deletions are enclosed in brackets and proposed
 additions are all capitalized, or underlined where appropriate.]